THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JASON WILLIAM SCOTT,

CASE NO. CR20-0175-JCC

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Go

Defendant.

This matter comes before the Court on the Government's unopposed motion to continue trial (Dkt. No. 16). The Government moves to continue trial because it represents—and defense counsel does not dispute—that defense counsel needs additional time to review discovery, including a "voluminous forensic analysis of a cell phone extraction" and prepare for trial. (*Id.* at 2.) Having considered the briefing and the relevant record, the Court FINDS that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interests of Mr. Scott and the public to a speedy trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The reason for this finding is that failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel for Mr. Scott the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

ORDER CR20-0175-JCC PAGE - 1